



Changing the paradigm:

From Elite Accommodation to Disruptive Advocacy

June 12, 2018

National Airlines Council of Canada

- Represents Canada's four largest passenger airlines:
 Air Canada, WestJet, Air Transat, and Jazz
- Our members fly over 92% of domestic flights in Canada and over 65% of international flights
- Collectively, we fly to 243 destinations in 64 countries around the world – including flights to 66 destinations across Canada
- Our members directly employ almost 50,000
 Canadians across the country



Elite Accommodation

A process through which policy decisions on the allocation of scarce social resources "...are the result of negotiation and consultation among the elites concerned"

Robert Presthus – Accommodation in Canadian Politics, 1971





Disruptive Advocacy

An approach to advocacy and government relations that seeks to bring about change in the dominant policy paradigms by challenging their foundations and increasing the political cost of maintaining the status quo





User pay: The dominant paradigm

Other countries see the increasing importance of air transport for global competitiveness. Some, such as the U.S., Singapore, and the Persian Gulf states, actively subsidize their air sectors; others with user-pay models that promote self-sufficiency, such as countries in the European Union, still support their air sectors in other ways and minimize further tax burdens on the sector. Canada is unique among its competitors in charging onerous rents and taxes that undermine competitiveness."

Emerson Report (2015) – Page 190





User pay – what it means

- As a result of narrow airline profit margins, incremental costs such as third-party fees, charges, and taxes, are often transferred, in whole or in part, to passengers
- Cost of air travel in Canada, relative to other jurisdictions, is therefore higher than in those that subsidize air transport infrastructure, such as the United States
- When coupled with the high price sensitivity of demand, and geographically dispersed population, Canada's user pay system:
 - acts as a drag on our industry's competitiveness
 - penalizes residents of smaller regional or remote markets
 - results in commercial and emissions leakage
 - has a built-in unsustainable cost compounding bias





How we got here: A fiscal lens

- Until their transfer in the 1990's, Transport Canada owned and operated most airports across the country, as well as the air navigation system.
- Canada's spiraling public debt made the status quo fiscally and politically unacceptable
- Starting in 1994 the Government of Canada undertook a massive review of program spending
- Aviation user pay and devolution fell in the wheelhouse of the Chretien government's deficit hawks





How we got here: A fiscal lens

- Culmination of a change in decision-making paradigm
- Shift of the political fulcrum from line departments to central agencies
- Under Paul Martin, Finance second only to PMO in agenda setting
- Trend continued under Harper government and continues today





What does it mean for aviation?

- Weakening of agenda setting capacity of Transport Canada
- Weakening of open, consultative policy development processes
- Policy decisions driven by fiscal and political considerations





What does it mean for aviation?

Ultimately, it means embracing disruptive advocacy



An example: Airport privatization

- Objective: avoiding reference to privatization in Budget 2017
- Strategy: Change the political calculus by increasing the political cost and injecting political uncertainty
- Tactics:
 - Development of a narrative
 - coalition building
 - proactive media relations
 - political engagement





What NACC is doing

- Challenge the dominant, siloed policy paradigm
- Create awareness of the aviation ecosystem
- Advocate for coherent, holistic sectoral policymaking
- Change the frame





The aviation ecosystem

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7pAl79Ftndw





Thank you!

Massimo Bergamini, President and CEO National Airlines Council of Canada